

Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Avg.)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up	
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; value is within the tolerance of the
X_W4	31-May-10	Mid-flood	WSD9	DO (mg/L)	3.62	3.66	3.28		baseline water quality range
				Turbidity	3.88	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the next consecutive data to conclude the reasoning
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low and four monitoring stations were
									exceeded the action level marginally. It seems that the
									exceedance was caused by the natural variation or changes in
				Suspended Solid	6.0	13.00	14.43		ambient conditions and not caused by the project marine works.
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; value is within the tolerance of the
X_W5	31-May-10	Mid-flood	WSD15	DO (mg/L)	3.39	3.66	3.28	1	baseline water quality range
				Turbidity	2.58	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the next consecutive data to conclude the reasoning
					T			Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low and four monitoring stations were
									exceeded the action level marginally. It seems that the
									exceedance was caused by the natural variation or changes in
				Suspended Solid	4.0	13.00	14.43		ambient conditions and not caused by the project marine works.
			Т					Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; value is within the tolerance of the
X_W6	31-May-10	Mid-flood	WSD17	DO (mg/L)	3.31	3.66	3.28	1	baseline water quality range
				Turbidity	2.71	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the next consecutive data to conclude the reasoning
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low and four monitoring stations were
									exceeded the action level marginally. It seems that the
									exceedance was caused by the natural variation or changes in
				Suspended Solid	8.5	13.00	14.43		ambient conditions and not caused by the project marine works.
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; value is within the tolerance of the
X_W7	31-May-10	Mid-ebb	WSD10	DO (mg/L)	3.52	3.66	3.28	1	baseline water quality range
				Turbidity	1.62	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the next consecutive data to conclude the reasoning
								Remarks / Other Obs:	The range of DO level is 3.25-3.73mg/L in the six monitoring
									stations. Overall DO levels were low and it were exceeded the
									action level marginally. It seems that the result was caused by the
									natural variation or changes in ambient conditions and not caused
				Suspended Solid	3.5	13.00	14.43		by the project marine works.
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; value is within the tolerance of the
X_W8	31-May-10	Mid-ebb	WSD17	DO (mg/L)	3.57	3.66	3.28	1	baseline water quality range
				Turbidity	4.28	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the next consecutive data to conclude the reasoning
								Remarks / Other Obs:	The range of DO level is 3.25-3.73mg/L in the six monitoring
									stations. Overall DO levels were low and it were exceeded the
									action level marginally. It seems that the result was caused by the
									natural variation or changes in ambient conditions and not caused
				Suspended Solid	6.0	13.00	14.43		by the project marine works.
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W10	28-May-10	Mid-flood	WSD10	DO (mg/L)	5.29	3.66	3.28	4	conditions
								Action taken / to be taken:	Review the nearest monitoring stations to conclude the reasoning;
				Turbidity	4.75	8.04	9.49	1	
								Remarks / Other Obs:	No exceedance was recorded except in Cha Kwo Ling Stations,
									which is the farthest monitoring station to the marine work area. It
		1		Suspended Solid	27.0	13.00	14.43		is concluded as non-project related exceedance.



Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Avg.)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up	
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W11	4-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	WSD17	DO (mg/L)	3.65	3.66	3.28	4	conditions
				-	0.40		0.40	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the nearest monitoring stations to conclude the reasoning;
				Turbidity	3.48	8.04	9.49	Demonstra / Others Oher	The DO secultize distributions that the action level Manuscrated are
								Remarks / Other Obs:	The DO result is slightly lower that the action level. No exceedance
									area. It is considered as the non-project related exceedance
				Suspended Solid	5.0	13.00	14 43		
					0.0			Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed: natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W12	7-Jun-10	Mid-flood	WSD15	DO (mg/L)	3.19	3.66	3.28		conditions
_				Turbidity	2.59	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the monitoring stations near the works area
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low in all monitoring stations. As no muddy
									boom was observed during the water monitoring, it seems that the
									exceedance was caused by the natural variation or changes in
									ambient conditions and not caused by the project marine works.
				Suspended Solid	2.5	13.00	14.43	D 11	
V W/12	7 Jun 10	Mid obb		DO(ma/l)	2.11	2.66	2.20	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
A_0013	7-Juli-10	Milu-ebb	W3D15	DO (mg/L) Turbidity	2.07	3.00	3.20 9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the monitoring stations near the works area
				Turbluity	2.07	0.04	3.43	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
									boom was observed during the water monitoring, it seems that the
									exceedance was caused by the natural variation or changes in
									ambient conditions and not caused by the project marine works.
				Suspended Solid	3.5	13.00	14.43		
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W14	7-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	WSD17	DO (mg/L)	3.18	3.66	3.28		conditions
				Turbidity	2.71	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the monitoring stations near the works area
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
									boom was observed during the water monitoring, it seems that the
									ambient conditions and not caused by the project marine works
				Suspended Solid	3.5	13.00	14.43		ambient conditions and not caused by the project manne works.
					0.0			Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed: natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W15	10-Jun-10	Mid-flood	WSD10	DO (mg/L)	2.03	3.66	3.28		conditions
_				Turbidity	3.63	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the monitoring stations near the works area
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
									boom was observed during the water monitoring, the exceedance
									is considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
			1	Suspended Solid	8.0	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.



Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Avg.)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up	
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W16	10-Jun-10	Mid-flood	WSD15	DO (mg/L)	2.11	3.66	3.28		conditions
				Turbidity	2.38	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the monitoring stations near the works area
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
									boom was observed during the water monitoring, the exceedance
									is considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	3.5	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W17	10-Jun-10	Mid-flood	WSD17	DO (mg/L)	2.53	3.66	3.28		conditions
				Turbidity	3.56	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the monitoring stations near the works area
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
									boom was observed during the water monitoring, the exceedance
				Supported Solid	6.0	12.00	14.40		is considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
-		+		Suspended Solid	0.0	13.00	14.43	Possible reason:	No muddy been observed: natural variation or changes in ambient
X W18	10- lup-10	Mid-ebb	WSD10	DO(mq/l)	2 71	3.66	3.28	POSSIBle Teason.	conditions
X_W10	10-5411-10	Mid-ebb	100010	Turbidity	2.44	8.00	9.20	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the monitoring stations near the works area
				Tarbiaity	2.77	0.04	0.40	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
									boom was observed during the water monitoring, the exceedance
									is considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	4.5	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.
				•				Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W19	10-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	WSD17	DO (mg/L)	2.36	3.66	3.28		conditions
				Turbidity	6.50	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the monitoring stations near the works area
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
									boom was observed during the water monitoring, the exceedance
						(0.00			is considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	14.5	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.
X W00	15 km 10		WOD40	$DO(m\pi/l)$	2.00	2.00	0.00	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_VV20	15-Jun-10	Mid-fiood	WSD10	DO (mg/L)	3.29	3.00	3.28	Action taken (to be taken:	Conditions
				Turbidity	2.94	0.04	9.49	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were lew at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
								Remarks / Other Obs.	been was observed during the water monitoring, the exceedance
									is considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	3.0	13.00	14 43		related exceedance
					0.0	10.00	11.10	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed: natural variation or changes in ambient
X W21	15-Jun-10	Mid-flood	WSD15	DO (mg/L)	3.62	3.66	3.28		conditions
_				Turbidity	2.99	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
						1	I	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
									boom was observed during the water monitoring, the exceedance
1									is considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
			1	Suspended Solid	5.0	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.



Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Avg.)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up	
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W22	15-Jun-10	Mid-flood	WSD17	DO (mg/L)	3.16	3.66	3.28		conditions
				Turbidity	2.64	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
									boom was observed during the water monitoring, the exceedance
									is considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	8.0	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W23	15-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	WSD17	DO (mg/L)	3.32	3.66	3.28	ł	conditions
				Turbidity	2.50	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
									boom was observed during the water monitoring, the exceedance
									is considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	5.0	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.
				50 (1)				Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W24	17-Jun-10	Mid-flood	WSD10	DO (mg/L)	3.62	3.66	3.28		conditions
				lurbidity	3.00	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
						40.00			considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	4.0	13.00	14.43	Descible reserve	related exceedance.
V MOE	17 1	Midflood		$DO(m\pi/l)$	2.04	2.00	2.00	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_VV25	17-Jun-10	Mid-fiood	WSD15	DO (mg/L)	3.01	3.00	3.28	Action taken (to be taken)	conditions
				TUIDIOILY	1.00	0.04	9.49	Remarka / Other Ohai	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were lew. The evenedence is
								Remarks / Other Obs.	overall DO levels and furbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
				Succended Solid	15	12.00	11.12		
				Suspended Solid	4.5	13.00	14.43	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed: natural variation or changes in ambient
X W26	17- lun-10	Mid-ebb	w/spg	DO(ma/l)	3 47	3.66	3.28	r ussible reason.	conditions
N_1120			11005	DO (IIIg/E)	0.47	0.00	0.20	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of the monitoring results and result at the
				Turbidity	1 32	8.04	9 4 9	Action taken, to be taken.	nearest monitoring station:
				ranolally	1.02	0.01	0.10	Remarks / Other Obs	Only two exceedances were found at WSD 9 and WSD 17 which
									are far to the marine works area. The exceedance is considered as
									causing by the natural variation and a non-project related
				Suspended Solid	7.0	13.00	14.43		exceedance.
							-	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X W27	17-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	WSD15	DO (mg/L)	3.34	3.66	3.28		conditions
_								Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of the monitoring results and result at the
				Turbidity	1.81	8.04	9.49		nearest monitoring station;
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Only two exceedances were found at WSD 9 and WSD 17 which
									are far to the marine works area. The exceedance is considered as
									causing by the natural variation and a non-project related
				Suspended Solid	6.0	13.00	14.43		exceedance.



Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Avg.)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up	
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W28	19-Jun-10	Mid-flood	WSD10	DO (mg/L)	3.39	3.66	3.28		conditions
				Turbidity	1.75	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
									considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	4.5	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W29	19-Jun-10	Mid-flood	WSD17	DO (mg/L)	3.63	3.66	3.28		conditions
				lurbidity	1.89	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
				Quenended Colid	1.0	12.00	11.10		considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	4.0	13.00	14.43	Dessible recess;	related exceedance.
X W30	19- Jun-10	Mid-obb	WSDO	DO(ma/l)	3 27	3.66	3.29	Possible reason.	conditions
X_VV30	19-5011-10	Mid-ebb	W3D3	Turbidity	2.07	8.00	9.20	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
				Turbluity	2.07	0.04	5.43	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
								rtemants / Other Obs.	considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	2.0	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed: natural variation or changes in ambient
X W31	19-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	WSD15	DO (mg/L)	3.32	3.66	3.28		conditions
-				Turbidity	1.48	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
									considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	11.0	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W32	19-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	WSD17	DO (mg/L)	3.14	3.66	3.28		conditions
				Turbidity	1.46	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
									considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	<2	13.00	14.43	Descible recent	related exceedance.
X W22	22 Jun 10	Mid flood	WEDO	DO(ma/l)	2.65	2.66	2.20	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
^_vv33	22-Jun-10	Mid-1100d	W3D9	DO (mg/L)	3.03 4.25	3.00	3.20	Action taken (to be taken)	Conditions Reviewed the trend of everall regults in this menitoring
				Turbluity	4.30	0.04	9.43	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
								Remarks / Other Obs.	considered as causing by the natural variation and a pon-project
				Suspended Solid	5.5	13.00	14 43		related exceedance
					0.0	10.00	14.40	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed: natural variation or changes in ambient
X W34	22-Jun-10	Mid-flood	WSD17	DO (ma/L)	3.63	3.66	3.28		conditions
				Turbidity	3.26	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
									considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	7.0	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.



Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Avg.)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up	
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W35	22-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	WSD9	DO (mg/L)	3.19	3.66	3.28		conditions
				Turbidity	1.94	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
									considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	6.0	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W36	22-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	WSD10	DO (mg/L)	3.23	3.66	3.28		conditions
				lurbidity	2.25	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
				Quenended Calid		12.00	44.40		considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	2.0	13.00	14.43	Dessible recess;	related exceedance.
V W27	22 Jun 10	Mid obb		DO(ma/l)	2.26	2.66	2.20	Possible reason.	anditions
A_VV37	22-Jun-10	Mid-epp	W3D15	DO (mg/L)	2.18	8.00	0.40	Action taken / to be taken:	Poviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
				Turbluity	2.10	0.04	3.43	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
								Remarks / Other Obs.	considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	4.0	13.00	14 43		related exceedance
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed: natural variation or changes in ambient
X W38	22-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	WSD17	DO (ma/L)	3.60	3.66	3.28		conditions
			-	Turbidity	1.95	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
									considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	10.0	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.
								Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_W39	26-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	WSD9	DO (mg/L)	2.94	3.66	3.28		conditions
				Turbidity	3.78	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
									considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	5.0	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.
X MAO	00 km 10	Mid ahh	WOD4F	$DO(m\pi/l)$	2.00	2.00	2.00	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_VV40	26-Jun-10	dda-plivi	WSD15	DU (mg/L)	3.09	3.00	3.28	A stien taken (to be taken)	conditions
				Turbially	3.00	0.04	9.48	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were lew. The exceedence is
								Remarks / Other Obs.	considered as causing by the natural variation and a pon-project
				Suspended Solid	6.5	13.00	14.43		related exceedance
				Suspended Solid	0.0	13.00	14.40	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed: natural variation or changes in ambient
X W41	26-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	WSD17	DQ (mg/L)	2.96	3.66	3.28		conditions
				Turbidity	4.55	8.04	9.49	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
				,		5.0.	51.10	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
									considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
				Suspended Solid	5.0	13.00	14.43		related exceedance.

Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level Follow-up acti	tion
X_10C029	28-May-10	Mid-ebb	C9	DO (mg/L)	5.29	3.36	2.73 Possible reaso	son: No muddy boom observed; local variation at monitoring station
				Turbidity (NTU)	4.73	9.10	10.25 Action taken /	/ to be taken: Review the nearest monitoring stations to conclude the reasoning;
				00((1))		15.00		
				SS (mg/L)	17.00	15.00	22.13 Remarks / Oth	ther Obs: No exceedance was recorded at the nearest monitoring station in
X 10C030	31-Mov-10	Mid-flood	<u></u>	DO(ma/l)	2.25	3 36	2 73 Possible reas	same lide. It is concluded as non-project related exceedance.
X_100030	ST-May-TO	Iviiu-iioou	03	Turbidity (NTU)	4 40	9.10	10.25 Action taken /	/ to be taken: Review the monitoring stations during the same tide:
				SS (mg/L)	12.50	15.00	22.13 Remarks / Oth	ther Obs: Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
								boom was observed during the water monitoring, it seems that the
								exceedance was caused by the natural variation or changes in
								ambient conditions and not caused by the project marine works.
X 10C031	31-May-10	Mid-ebb	C9	DO (mg/L)	3.25	3.36	2.73 Possible reaso	son: No muddy boom observed: local variation at monitoring station
	- · · · · · · · · · ·			Turbidity (NTU)	4.21	9.10	10.25 Action taken /	/ to be taken: Review the monitoring stations during the same tide;
				SS (mg/L)	7.00	15.00	22.13 Remarks / Oth	ther Obs: Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
								boom was observed during the water monitoring, it seems that the
								exceedance was caused by the natural variation or changes in
								ambient conditions and not caused by the project marine works.
X 10C032	7-Jun-10	Mid-flood	C8	DQ (mg/L)	2 27	3 36	2 73 Possible reaso	son: No muddy boom observed: local variation at monitoring station
1.00002	, can re	inia nova		Turbidity (NTU)	6.66	9.10	10.25 Action taken /	/ to be taken: Review the monitoring stations during the same tide;
				SS (mg/L)	15.50	15.00	22.13 Remarks / Oth	ther Obs: Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
								boom was observed during the water monitoring, it seems that the
								exceedance was caused by the natural variation or changes in
								ambient conditions and not caused by the project marine works.
X 10C033	7-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	C8	DO (mg/L)	2,59	3.36	2.73 Possible reaso	son: No muddy boom observed: local variation at monitoring station
				Turbidity (NTU)	4.96	9.10	10.25 Action taken /	/ to be taken: Review the monitoring stations during the same tide;
				SS (mg/L)	11.00	15.00	22.13 Remarks / Oth	ther Obs: Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
								boom was observed during the water monitoring, it seems that the
								exceedance was caused by the natural variation or changes in
								ambient conditions and not caused by the project marine works.
X 10C034	7-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	C9	DO (mg/L)	2.84	3 36	2.73 Possible reaso	son: No muddy boom observed: local variation at monitoring station
	, can re		•••	Turbidity (NTU)	3.92	9.10	10.25 Action taken /	/ to be taken: Review the monitoring stations during the same tide;
				SS (mg/L)	4.50	15.00	22.13 Remarks / Oth	ther Obs: Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
								boom was observed during the water monitoring, it seems that the
								exceedance was caused by the natural variation or changes in
								ambient conditions and not caused by the project marine works.
X 10C035	2-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	C9	DO (mg/L)	5.09	3.36	2.73 Possible reaso	son: No muddy boom observed: local variation at monitoring station
				Turbidity (NTU)	9.09	9.10	10.25 Action taken /	/ to be taken: Review the monitoring stations during the same tide;
				SS (mg/L)	22.50	15.00	22.13 Remarks / Oth	ther Obs: No exceedance was recorded at the nearest monitoring station and
1								other monitoring stations in same tide. It is concluded as non-
	1		1					project related exceedance.



Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_10C036	10-Jun-10	Mid-flood	C9	DO (mg/L)	2.42	3.36	2.73	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; local variation at monitoring station
				Turbidity (NTU)	4.11	9.10	10.25	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the monitoring stations during the same tide;
				SS (mg/L)	7.00	15.00	22.13	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
									boom was observed during the water monitoring, the exceedance
									is considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
									related exceedance.
X_10C037	12-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	C9	DO (mg/L)	4.94	3.36	2.73	Possible reason:	Accumulation of particles from the nearest outfalls
				Turbidity (NTU)	4.28	9.10	10.25	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the next consecutive data to conclude the reasoning;
				SS (mg/L)	19.50	15.00	22.13	Remarks / Other Obs:	No exceedance was recorded in the next tide. It is concluded as
									non-project related exceedance.
X_10C038	15-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	C8	DO (mg/L)	3.46	3.36	2.73	Possible reason:	Accumulation of particles from the nearest outfalls
				Turbidity (NTU)	6.29	9.10	10.25	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
				SS (mg/L)	18.00	15.00	22.13	Remarks / Other Obs:	Unknown local discharge points were enclosed by silt screen. It
									seems that the local discharge was accumulated and trapped
									inside the silt screen. It is concluded as no project-related
X 400000	45 1.00 40	Middleed	<u></u>	$DO(m \pi/l)$		2.00	0.70	Dessible research	exceedance.
X_10C039	15-Jun-10	IVIIa-filooa	60	DU (mg/L)	2.83	3.30	2.73	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; local variation at monitoring station
					0.23	9.10	10.25	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the monitoring stations during the same tide;
				55 (mg/L)	12.00	15.00	22.13	Remarks / Other Obs.	been was shear ad during the water monitoring stations. As no muddy
									boom was observed during the water momitoring, the exceedance
									related exceedance
X 10C039	15- lun-10	Mid-flood	CO	DO(ma/L)	2.86	3 36	2 73	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed: local variation at monitoring station
X_100000		wiid nood	00	Turbidity (NTU)	6.45	9.00	10.25	Action taken / to be taken:	Review the monitoring stations during the same tide:
				SS (mg/L)	7.50	15.00	22.13	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels were low at all monitoring stations. As no muddy
				00 (mg/L)	1.00	10.00	22.10		boom was observed during the water monitoring the exceedance
									is considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
									related exceedance.
	17-Jun-10	Mid-flood	C8	DO (mg/L)	3.22	3.36	2.73	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_10C040									conditions
				Turbidity (NTU)	4.80	9.10	10.25	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
				SS (mg/L)	7.00	15.00	22.13	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
									considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
									related exceedance.
	17-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	C8	DO (mg/L)	4.14	3.36	2.73	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_10C041									conditions
				Turbidity (NTU)	2.06	9.10	10.25	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
				SS (mg/L)	16.50	15.00	22.13	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
									considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
									related exceedance.
	22-Jun-10	Mid-flood	C8	DO (mg/L)	3.23	3.36	2.73	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
X_10C042								• • • • • • • • •	conditions
				Turbidity (NTU)	6.23	9.10	10.25	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
				SS (mg/L)	10.00	15.00	22.13	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
									considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
1	1		1	1					related exceedance.

Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_10C043	22-Jun-10	Mid-flood	C9	DO (mg/L)	3.27	3.36	2.73	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient conditions
				Turbidity (NTU)	8.88	9.10	10.25	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
				SS (mg/L)	6.00	15.00	22.13	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
									considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
									related exceedance.
X_10C044	24-Jun-10	Mid-flood	C8	DO (mg/L)	5.33	3.36	2.73	Possible reason:	Accumulation of unknown local discharge enclosed by silt screen
				Turbidity (NTU)	9.15	9.10	10.25	Action taken / to be taken:	Repeated to conduct in-situ measurement inside and outside the situ screen to conclude the reasoning:
				SS (mg/L)	17 50	15.00	22.13	Remarks / Other Obs:	The turbid water was observed inside the silt screen during
				00 (mg/L)	17.50	10.00	22.10	Remarks / Other Obs.	monitoring. It is concluded as causing by accumulation of particles
									from outfall and no project-related exceedance.
	24-Jun-10	Mid-flood	C9	DO (mg/L)	6.18	3.36	2.73	Possible reason:	Accumulation of unknown local discharge enclosed by silt screen
X_10C045									
				Turbidity (NTU)	11.60	9.10	10.25	Action taken / to be taken:	Repeated to conduct in-situ measurement inside and outside the
									silt screen to conclude the reasoning;
				SS (mg/L)	16.50	15.00	22.13	Remarks / Other Obs:	The range of the repeated turbidity measurement inside and
									outside the silt screen are 11.2-12.4 and 6.68-7.77NTU
									respectively. No exceedance was recorded outside the silt screen.
	26 Jun 10	Mid ohh	<u></u>	DO(mall)	0.74	2.26	0.70	Dessible research	It is concluded as no project-related exceedance.
X 10C046	26-Jun-10	dda-pilvi	0	DO (mg/L)	3.71	3.30	2.73	Possible reason.	Accumulation of unknown local discharge enclosed by slit screen
A_10C040				Turbidity (NTU)	10.95	9 10	10.25	Action taken / to be taken	Repeated to conduct in-situ measurement inside and outside the
					10.00	0.10	10.20		silt screen to conclude the reasoning:
				SS (mg/L)	9.50	15.00	22.13	Remarks / Other Obs:	The turbid water was observed inside the silt screen during
				(0)					monitoring. It is concluded as causing by accumulation of particles
									from outfall and no project-related exceedance.
X 10C047	26-Jun-10	Mid-ebb	C9	DO (mg/L)	2.99	3.36	2.73	Possible reason:	No muddy boom observed; natural variation or changes in ambient
A_100047				Turbidity (NTU)	5 79	9 10	10.25	Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of overall results in this monitoring
				SS (mg/L)	7.00	15.00	22.13	Remarks / Other Obs:	Overall DO levels and turbidity levels were low. The exceedance is
				55 (mg/L)	,.00	10.00	22.10		considered as causing by the natural variation and a non-project
									related exceedance.

Remarks:

Action Level - Value highline in blue colour Limit Level - Value highlight in red colour



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Lev	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_10N004	8-Jun-10	20:20	M4a - Causeway Bay	72.5	Leq(5-min)	when one	70	Possible reason:	Noisy traffic noise from Island Eastern Corridor was noted during
			Community Centre			complaint was received.		Action taken / to be taken:	Analysis of contractor's working procedure; Repeated noise measurement on 12 June 2010, no exceedance was recorded.
								Remarks / Other Obs:	No exceedance was recorded in the additional noise measurement on 12 June 2010. Valid CNP no. GW-RS0371-10 for the dredging works during 1900-2300 normal week days.
X_10N005	16-Jun-10	13:35	M5b - City Garden	72.1	Leq(5-min)	when one documented	70	Possible reason:	Noise source was obtained from the goods logistic near the location of noise measurements
						complaint was received.		Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of noise measurement results and analysis of contractor's working procedure
								Remarks / Other Obs:	No major marine works activities were undertaken near the sensitive receiver during the measurement. The major noise source was obtained from the nearby goods logistic activities.
X_10N006	22-Jun-10	20:15	M4a - Causeway Bay Community Centre	71	Leq(5-min)	when one documented	70	Possible reason:	Noisy traffic noise from Island Eastern Corridor was noted during the noise monitoring.
						complaint was received.		Action taken / to be taken:	Reviewed the trend of noise measurement results and analysis of contractor's working procedure
								Remarks / Other Obs:	No major marine works activities were undertaken near the monitoring station during the measurement. The major noise source was the traffic noise from Island eastern corridor.