



| Ref. No. | Date | Time | Location | Construction Noise Level | Unit | Action Level | Limit Level | Follow-up action |
|----------|-----------|-------|---|--------------------------|-------------|---|-------------|--|
| X_10N164 | 14-May-14 | 16:30 | M6 - HK Baptist Church Henrietta Secondary School | 71 | Leq(30-min) | when one documented complaint was received. | 70 | <p>Possible reason: Traffic nearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major noise contribution.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Welding works for dolphin cap under Contract Hy200919 was conducted around the concerned location during the time of measurement. It was observed that traffic noise was a major noise source during monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to project but to traffic noise nearby.</p> |



| Ref. No. | Date | Time | Location | Construction Noise Level | Unit | Action Level | Limit Level | Follow-up action |
|----------|----------|-------|---|--------------------------|-------------|---|-------------|---|
| X_10N163 | 7-May-14 | 15:11 | M6 - HK Baptist Church Henrietta Secondary School | 73 | Leq(30-min) | when one documented complaint was received. | 70 | <p>Possible reason: Traffic nearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major noise contribution.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Sealing and welding works for dolphin cap under Contract Hy200919 were conducted around the concerned location during the measurement. It was observed that traffic noise was a major noise source during monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to project but to traffic noise nearby.</p> |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measured | Action Level | Limit Level | Follow-up action | |
|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---|
| X_W565 | 28-Apr-13 | Mid-Flood | WSD19 | DO(mg/L) | 6.40 | 3.17 | 2.63 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station. |
| | | | | Turbidity | 5.01 | 10.01 | 11.54 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. Checking with contractor's works. |
| | | | | SS | 21.00 | 16.26 | 19.74 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Filling works with installed silt curtain was conducted by Contractor HK/2012/08 during monitoring. Mitigation measures including framed silt curtain was confirmed in place. Silt screen was confirmed in order, the exceedances was considered not project related. |

| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Depth | Parameters (Unit) | Measured | Action Level | Limit Level | Follow-up action |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------|-------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|---|
| X_10D421 | 30-Apr-14 | Mid-Flood | Ex-WPCWA SW | Middle | DO(mg/l) | 1.28 | 3.19 | 3.10 | <p>Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station and potential flushing of seabed during low tide cycle.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA and further checking review that low tide condition during ebb tide (<0.5m) were observed on 30 April. It was considered not related to Project works.</p> |
| X_10D422 | 30-Apr-14 | Mid-Flood | Ex-WPCWA SE | Middle | DO(mg/l) | 1.13 | 3.55 | 3.00 | <p>Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station and potential flushing of seabed during low tide cycle.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA and further checking review that low tide condition during ebb tide (<0.5m) were observed on 30 April. It was considered not related to Project works.</p> |
| X_10D423 | 2/5/2014 | Mid-Flood | Ex-WPCWA SW | Middle | DO(mg/l) | 1.21 | 3.19 | 3.10 | <p>Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station and potential flushing of seabed during low tide cycle.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No marine works was conducted during the time of monitoring on 2 May 2014 despite de-silting works was conducted at Ex-WPCWA-S on the monitoring date at Ex-WPCWA. In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works.</p> |
| X_10D424 | 2/5/2014 | Mid-Flood | Ex-WPCWA SE | Middle | DO(mg/l) | 1.30 | 3.55 | 3.00 | <p>Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station and potential flushing of seabed during low tide cycle.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No marine works was conducted during the time of monitoring on 2 May 2014 despite de-silting works was conducted at Ex-WPCWA-S on the monitoring date at Ex-WPCWA. In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works.</p> |