



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Measured TSP Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_15A018	27-Aug-15	9:56	CMA2a - Causeway Bay Community Bay	380.9	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	323.4	500	<p>Possible reason: Elevated TSP level in relate to the external wall renovation undertaken at Causeway Bay Community Centre by non-CWB Project Contractors.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: In view of IEC bridge deck lifting with crane around Watson road with no considerable dust impact was undertaken by the Contractor of HY/2009/19 on the monitoring date while external wall renovation including tile removal using hand held breaker was undertaken by non-CWB Contractor at Causeway Bay Community Centre and considered as the major source of dust impact during monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project.</p>
X_15A019	27-Aug-15	14:00	CMA2a - Causeway Bay Community Bay	350.1	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	323.4	500	<p>Possible reason: Elevated TSP level in relate to the external wall renovation undertaken at Causeway Bay Community Centre by non-CWB Project Contractors.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: In view of IEC bridge deck lifting with crane around Watson road with no considerable dust impact was undertaken by the Contractor of HY/2009/19 on the monitoring date while external wall renovation including tile removal using hand held breaker was undertaken by non-CWB Contractor at Causeway Bay Community Centre and considered as the major source of dust impact during monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project.</p>



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Measured TSP Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_15A020	27-Aug-15	9:56	CMA2a - Causeway Bay Community Bay	380.9	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	323.4	500	<p>Possible reason: Elevated TSP level in relate to the external wall renovation undertaken at Causeway Bay Community Centre by non-CWB Project Contractors.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: In view of wall/ ceiling washing within a section tunnel area outside Watson with considerable dust impact was undertaken by the Contract of HY/2011/08 on the monitoring date while external wall renovation including tile removal using hand held breaker was undertaken by non-CWB Contractor at Causeway Bay Community Centre and considered as the major source of dust impact during monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project.</p>
X_15A021	27-Aug-15	14:00	CMA2a - Causeway Bay Community Bay	350.1	1hr TSP (ug/m ³)	323.4	500	<p>Possible reason: Elevated TSP level in relate to the external wall renovation undertaken at Causeway Bay Community Centre by non-CWB Project Contractors.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: In view of wall/ ceiling washing within a section tunnel area outside Watson with considerable dust impact was undertaken by the Contract of HY/2011/08 on the monitoring date while external wall renovation including tile removal using hand held breaker was undertaken by non-CWB Contractor at Causeway Bay Community Centre and considered as the major source of dust impact during monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project.</p>



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Measured TSP Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_15A016	26-Aug-15	8:00	CMA2a - Causeway Bay Community Bay	218.3	24hr TSP (ug/m ³)	169.5	260	<p>Possible reason: Elevated TSP level in relate to the external wall renovation undertaken at Causeway Bay Community Centre by non-CWB Project Contractors.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of Contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Although IEC bridge deck saw cutting and wire cutting were undertaken on the monitoring date at around Watson Road under Contractor of HY/2009/19, mitigation measure including watering during cutting works was generally implemented. According to the observation on the monitoring date, external wall renovation including tile removal using hand held breaker was undertaken by non-CWB Contractor at Causeway Bay Community Centre and considered as the major source of dust impact during monitoring. As such, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project.</p>



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Measured TSP Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_15A017	26-Aug-15	8:00	CMA2a - Causeway Bay Community Bay	218.3	24hr TSP (ug/m ³)	169.5	260	<p>Possible reason: Elevated TSP level in relate to the external wall renovation undertaken at Causeway Bay Community Centre by non-CWB Project Contractors.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of air quality measurement across monitoring stations. Analysis of contractor's working procedures.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: In view of wall/ ceiling washing within a section tunnel area outside Watson with no considerable dust impact was conducted under the Contract of HY/2011/08 on the monitoring date while external wall renovation including tile removal using hand held breaker was undertaken by non-CWB Contractor at Causeway Bay Community Centre and considered as the major source of dust impact during monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project.</p>



Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Depth	Parameters (Unit)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_10D522	3-Aug-15	Mid-ebb	Ex-WPCWA SW	Middle	DO(mg/l)	1.85	3.19	3.10	<p>Possible reason:</p> <p>Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.</p> <p>Action taken/ to be taken:</p> <p>Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data.</p> <p>Remarks/ Other Obs:</p> <p>Despite excavated mud transshipment was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date, the construction works conducted was generally in order while upstream discharge from nearby culvert were consistently observed. In view of no dredging or reclamation works activity was conducted and no exceedance was recorded on the subsequent monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project works. DO level was restored to normal level above WQO on 5 August 2015 during flood tide.</p>
X_10D523	5-Aug-15	Mid-ebb	Ex-WPCWA SW	Middle	DO(mg/l)	2.78	3.19	3.10	<p>Possible reason:</p> <p>Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.</p> <p>Action taken/ to be taken:</p> <p>Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data.</p> <p>Remarks/ Other Obs:</p> <p>Despite excavated mud transshipment was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date, the construction works conducted was generally in order while upstream discharge from nearby culvert were consistently observed. In view of no dredging or reclamation works activity was conducted and no exceedance was recorded on the subsequent monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project works.</p>
X_10D524	14-Aug-15	Mid-ebb	Ex-WPCWA SE	Middle	DO(mg/l)	3.54	3.55	3.00	<p>Possible reason:</p> <p>Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.</p> <p>Action taken/ to be taken:</p> <p>Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data.</p> <p>Remarks/ Other Obs:</p> <p>Despite excavated mud transshipment was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date, the construction works conducted was generally in order provided while upstream discharge from nearby culvert were consistently observed. In view of no dredging or reclamation works activity was conducted and no exceedance was recorded on the subsequent monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project works.</p>
X_10D525	14-Aug-15	Mid-flood	Ex-WPCWA SW	Middle	DO(mg/l)	2.98	3.19	3.10	<p>Possible reason:</p> <p>Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.</p> <p>Action taken/ to be taken:</p> <p>Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data.</p> <p>Remarks/ Other Obs:</p> <p>Despite excavated mud transshipment was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date, the construction works conducted was generally in order while upstream discharge from nearby culvert were consistently observed. In view of no dredging or reclamation works activity was conducted and no exceedance was recorded on the subsequent monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project works.</p>



Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Depth	Parameters (Unit)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_10D526	17-Aug-15	Mid-ebb	Ex-WPCWA SW	Middle	DO(mg/l)	2.59	3.19	3.10	<p>Possible reason: Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.</p> <p>Action taken/ to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data.</p> <p>Remarks/ Other Obs: Despite excavated mud transshipment was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date, the construction works conducted was generally in order while upstream discharge from nearby culvert were consistently observed. In view of no dredging or reclamation works activity was conducted, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project works. The DO level at the concerned location was subsequently resumed on 21 August 2015 flood tide.</p>
X_10D527	17-Aug-15	Mid-ebb	Ex-WPCWA SE	Middle	DO(mg/l)	2.51	3.55	3.00	<p>Possible reason: Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.</p> <p>Action taken/ to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data.</p> <p>Remarks/ Other Obs: Despite excavated mud transshipment was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date, the construction works conducted was generally in order while upstream discharge from nearby culvert were consistently observed. In view of no dredging or reclamation works activity was conducted, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project works. Nevertheless, the contractor was reminded to increase the frequency of floating refuses collection and reposition floating curtain to eliminate any potential effect to the water quality within the area. The DO level at the concerned location was subsequently resumed on 21 August 2015 flood tide.</p>
X_10D528	19-Aug-15	Mid-flood	Ex-WPCWA SE	Middle	DO(mg/l)	3.02	3.55	3.00	<p>Possible reason: Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.</p> <p>Action taken/ to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data.</p> <p>Remarks/ Other Obs: Despite excavated mud transshipment was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date, the construction works conducted was generally in order while upstream discharge from nearby culvert were consistently observed. In view of no dredging or reclamation works activity was conducted, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project works. Nevertheless, the contractor was reminded to increase the frequency of floating refuses collection and reposition floating curtain to eliminate any potential effect to the water quality within the area. The DO level at the concerned location was subsequently resumed on 21 August 2015 flood tide.</p>
X_10D529	19-Aug-15	Mid-ebb	Ex-WPCWA SE	Middle	DO(mg/l)	3.30	3.55	3.00	<p>Possible reason: Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.</p> <p>Action taken/ to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data.</p> <p>Remarks/ Other Obs: Despite excavated mud transshipment was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date, the construction works conducted was generally in order while upstream discharge from nearby culvert were consistently observed. In view of no dredging or reclamation works activity was conducted and no exceedance was recorded on the subsequent monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project works.</p>



Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Depth	Parameters (Unit)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_10D530	19-Aug-15	Mid-ebb	Ex-WPCWA SW	Middle	DO(mg/l)	2.87	3.19	3.10	<p>Possible reason:</p>	Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.
									<p>Action taken/ to be taken:</p>	Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data.
									<p>Remarks/ Other Obs:</p>	Despite excavated mud transshipment was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date, the construction works conducted was generally in order while upstream discharge from nearby culvert were consistently observed. In view of no dredging or reclamation works activity was conducted and no exceedance was recorded on the subsequent monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project works.
X_10D531	27-Aug-15	Mid-ebb	Ex-WPCWA SW	Middle	DO(mg/l)	2.83	3.19	3.10	<p>Possible reason:</p>	Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.
									<p>Action taken/ to be taken:</p>	Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data.
									<p>Remarks/ Other Obs:</p>	Despite excavated mud transshipment was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date, the construction works conducted was generally in order while upstream discharge from nearby culvert were consistently observed. In view of no dredging or reclamation works activity was conducted and no exceedance was recorded on the subsequent monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project works.
X_10D532	27-Aug-15	Mid-flood	Ex-WPCWA SE	Middle	DO(mg/l)	3.30	3.55	3.00	<p>Possible reason:</p>	Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.
									<p>Action taken/ to be taken:</p>	Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data.
									<p>Remarks/ Other Obs:</p>	Despite excavated mud transshipment was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date, the construction works conducted was generally in order while upstream discharge from nearby culvert were consistently observed. In view of no dredging or reclamation works activity was conducted, the exceedance was considered not related to the Project works.